arXiv:1304.2506v1 [math.RA] 9 Apr 2013

NONSYMMETRIC GENERIC MATRIX EQUATIONS

GERALD BOURGEOIS

Abstract. The $n\times n$ matrices $A,(B_i)_i,C$ are indeterminates. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(E)$, where E denotes the entries of the previous indeterminates, and let $\overline{K}$ be the algebraic closure of K. We show that the Riccati equation $XAX+B_1X+XB_2+C=0_n$ has $\binom{2n}{n}$ solutions in the unknown $X\in\mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$ and is solvable by radicals over K if and only $n=2$. Solving the previous equation is equivalent to find the roots of a polynomial whose Galois group over K is S_{2n}. We show that a polynomial equation $g(B_1,\cdots,B_k,X)+B_{k+1}=0_n$, where g has no constant term, admits a finite number of simple solutions. We give the Hilbert dimension of the variety of solutions of the equation $X^2+B_1X=0_n$. We consider the unilateral equation $X^k+B_{k-1}X^{k-1}+\cdots+B_1X+B_0=0_n$ when the $n\times n$ matrices $(B_i)_i$ are commuting indeterminates ; we show that every solution $X\in\mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$ commutes with the $(B_i)_i$. When $n=2$, we prove that the equation $B_1XB_2X+XB_3X+X^2B_4+B_5X+B_6=0_2$ admits 16 isolated solutions in $\mathcal{M}_2(\overline{K})$. Again when $n=2$, we present the different forms of the set of solutions of a numerical Riccati equation.

1. Introduction

S. Gelfand wrote in 2004 (cf. [9]) : “The problem of solving quadratic equations for matrices seems to be absolutely classical. It would be natural that such a problem should have been at least formulated, or even solved, in the 19th century at the latest. Still, I asked many people about this problem, and they directed me to various sources, but nowhere could I find even a mention of this problem”.
In this paper, we deal with generic matrix equations, that is, polynomial equations whose coefficients are indeterminates. Questions about generic matrices are solved in [1] and [2] or about formal matrices in [13]. More generally, C. Procesi described, in [15], properties of the algebra of generic matrices.
Let $\mathbb{Q}$ be the field of rational numbers. If M is a $n\times n$ matrix, then χ_M denotes its characteristic polynomial, $\sigma(M)$ its spectrum and $\mathrm{tr}(M)$ its trace.

Definition. *The $n\times n$ matrices $(A_r=[a_{r;i,j}])_{1\leq r\leq k}$ are non-commuting indeterminates and the entries of which are commuting indeterminates. We consider the quotient field $K=\mathbb{Q}((a_{1;i,j})_{i,j},\cdots,(a_{k;i,j})_{i,j})$ and its algebraic closure $\overline{K}$. Let f be a non-zero polynomial over K in $k+1$ non-commuting indeterminates. We consider a matrix equation in the form*

$$f(A_1,\cdots,A_k,X)=0_n \text{ in the unknown } X=[x_{i,j}]\in\mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K}). \tag{1}$$

i) Assume that the previous equation has a finite positive number of solutions. If the entries of each solution can be calculated by radicals over K, then we say that Eq (1) is solvable, else we say that Eq (1) is non-solvable.
ii) (cf. [12]) A solution X_0 of Eq (1) is called (geometrically) isolated if there is a

---

Date: Apr-4-2013.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A30, Secondary 13P10.
Key words and phrases. generic matrix equation, Riccati equation, Gröbner basis, solvable group.

neighborhood of X_0 that contains no other solution of the equation.
A solution X_0 of Eq (1) is called singular if $\det(\dfrac{\partial f}{\partial X}(A_1,\cdots,A_k,X_0))=0$.

First, we study the nonsymmetric algebraic Riccati equation in $X\in\mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$

$$XAX+B_1X+XB_2+C=0_n. \tag{2}$$

where the $n\times n$ matrices A,B_1,B_2,C are indeterminates. For the general study of Eq (2), in Section 2, there are two parts
$i)$ One reduces Eq (2) to the equation

$$X^2+BX+C=0_n. \tag{3}$$

where the $n\times n$ matrices B,C are indeterminates. In [18], J.J. Sylvester studied Eq (3).
$ii)$ In Theorem 1, we prove that Eq (2) has $\binom{2n}{n}$ solutions and that solving Eq (2) is equivalent to find the roots of a polynomial of degree $\binom{2n}{n}$ that has S_{2n} as Galois group ; thus the Riccati equation is solvable if and only if $n=2$.
In Section 3, we give two instances of specializations of Eq (2) such that they are solvable until $n=4$. In particular, we study in details the generic equation $X^2+BX=0_n$.
In Section 4, we show (Theorem 2) that an equation of the form $g(A_1,\cdots,A_k,X)+B=0_n$, where the polynomial g has no constant term, and the $(A_i)_i$ and B are indeterminates, has a finite number of solutions in $\mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$ and these solutions have multiplicity 1.
To obtain explicit solutions, we use in Section 5 and 7, for low dimensions, Maple and Magma softwares ; this method permits to calculate the minimal polynomials of the entries of the solutions of Eq (2) and also to study non-unilateral quadratic equations. We consider the system of algebraic equations, in the unknowns $(x_{i,j})_{i,j}$ and the parameters $(b_{i,j})_{i,j},(c_{i,j})_{i,j}$, associated to Eq (3). If $n=2$, then we can completely solve this system. Yet, when $n=3,4$, we must use specializations of B,C.
Again using formal calculus when $n\leq 3$, we have a look at the non-unilateral equation

$$X^2+B_1XB_2+C=0_n. \tag{4}$$

The software is unable to solve the generic equation ; yet we can test specializations of Eq (4). We show that, when $n\geq 2$, this equation admits a finite number of solutions and is non-solvable.
In Section 6, we study the unilateral matrix equation $X^k+B_{k-1}X^{k-1}+\cdots+B_1X+B_0=0_n$ when the $n\times n$ matrices $(B_i)_i$ are *commuting* indeterminates ; we show, in Theorem 3, that the solutions $X\in\mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$ commute with the $(B_i)_i$. This result works for commuting Riccati Eq (2) or commuting Eq (4) and does not work for the equation

$$X^2+BXB+C=0_2$$

where the 2×2 matrices B,C are commuting indeterminates.
In Section 7, Theorem 4 gives, for $n=2$, an instance of a generic quadratic matrix equation that admits 16 isolated solutions in $\overline{K}^4$, that is, according to the Bézout's Theorem (cf. [6]), the maximal number of isolated solutions of a quadratic equation in 2×2 matrices.
In Section 8, again when $n=2$, we present the different forms of the set of solutions of a non-generic Riccati equation.

2. The nonsymmetric algebraic Riccati equation

In this section we will see that the complete Eq (2) is not solvable for $n \geq 3$. Note that the form of Eq (2) is invariant by translation of the unknown. Let $X = Y + U$ where U is a constant matrix to be chosen. We obtain $YAY + (UA + B_1)Y + Y(AU + B_2) + UAU + B_1U + UB_2 + C = 0_2$. Since A is generic, it is invertible and we put $U = -A^{-1}B_2$. Thus the study of Eq (2) is reduced to the study of the following : let A, B, C be $n \times n$ matrices that are indeterminates. We consider the equation in the unknown $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$

$$XAX + BX + C = 0_n. \tag{5}$$

Since A is invertible, Eq (5) is equivalent to

$$(AX)^2 + (ABA^{-1})(AX) + AC = 0_n.$$

Finally the study of Eq (2) is reduced to the study of Eq (3) in the unknown $X = [x_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$, where B, C are $n \times n$ matrices that are indeterminates.
Yet, for the study of the complexity of solving Riccati equation, it is easier to consider Eq (2).

Lemma 1. *Let $\Pi = [\pi_{i,j}]$ be a $p \times p$ matrix where the $(\pi_{i,j})_{i,j}$ are commuting indeterminates and $K = \mathbb{Q}((\pi_{i,j})_{i,j})$. Then χ_Π is irreducible over K and its Galois group is S_p.*

Proof. Let P be a polynomial of degree p with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ that has S_p as Galois group. We specialize Π into Π_0 so that Π_0 is the companion matrix of P. According to [20, ch. 8.10], S_p is a subgroup of the Galois group of χ_Π and we are done. □

Theorem 1. *Let $n \geq 2$. Let A, B_1, B_2, C be $n \times n$ matrices that are indeterminates. Then*
i) Eq (2), in the unknown X, has exactly $\binom{2n}{n}$ solutions that are in $\mathcal{M}_n(K((\lambda_i)_i))$ where the $(\lambda_i)_{i \leq 2n}$ are the roots of a polynomial that has S_{2n} as Galois group over K.
ii) Eq (2) is solvable if and only if $n = 2$.

Proof. *i*) Following the idea of J. Potter and B. Anderson (1966), we associate to Eq (2) the generic $2n \times 2n$ matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -B_2 & -A \\ C & B_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If X is a solution of Eq (2), then the graph of X is M-invariant (cf. [11]). This essential property works because the LHS of Eq (2) is a *linear* function of the indeterminates. More precisely, according to [5], there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of solutions of Eq (2) and the set of n-dimensional M-invariant subspaces E that satisfy the condition

$$E \text{ is complementary to } \{0\} \times \overline{K}^n. \tag{6}$$

According to Lemma 1, χ_M is irreducible over K and its Galois group is S_{2n}. Then M has $2n$ distinct eigenvalues $(\lambda_i)_i$ that are conjugate over K. Thus we can choose an associated basis of eigenvectors $\mathcal{B} = \{f_1, \cdots, f_{2n}\} \subset L^{2n}$ where $L = K((\lambda_i)_i)$ is a finite extension field of K and such that the $(f_i)_i$ are coordinatewise conjugate polynomials in the (λ_i) with entries in K. Any n-dimensional M-invariant subspace is spanned by n vectors $f_{i_1}, \cdots, f_{i_n}$, where $i_1 < \cdots < i_n$, chosen in $\mathcal{B}$ (cf. [8, ch. 2.1]). Put $(f_{i_1}, \cdots, f_{i_n}) = \begin{pmatrix} U_J \\ V_J \end{pmatrix}$ where $J = \{i_1, \cdots, i_n\}$ and U_J, V_J are $n \times n$ matrices. Then the subspace $[f_{i_1}, \cdots, f_{i_n}]$ satisfies Condition (6) if and only if U_J

is invertible. Assume that the polynomial, in the (λ_i), $\det(U_J)$ is zero. We consider a symmetrization of $\det(U_J)$, $\Pi_{\sigma\in S_{2n}}\det(U_{\sigma(i_1),\cdots,\sigma(i_n)})=0$, a polynomial relation linking indeterminates in K. We obtain a contradiction if $\det(U_j)$ is not identically zero, that is, if there is a specialization of M such that the associated $\det(U_J)$ is not zero.
We specialize M into M_0, the anticirculant matrix associated to the sequence $1,2,\cdots,2n$. We can show that M_0 has distinct eigenvalues and, for any choice of n eigenvectors of M_0, the associated matrix U_{J_0} is invertible. Note that every matrix in a neighborhood of M_0 in $\mathcal{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{Q})$ satisfies the previous properties ; indeed, since M_0 has distinct eigenvalues, $\sigma(M)$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are continuous functions of M in a neighborhood of M_0.
Therefore, any choice of n-dimensional M-invariant subspace is convenient and Eq (2) has exactly $\binom{2n}{n}$ solutions. Moreover, the solution associated to $[f_{i_1},\cdots,f_{i_n}]$ is $V_JU_J{}^{-1}$, that does not depend on the choice of the ordering of the eigenvectors ; we can even replace $f_{i_1},\cdots,f_{i_n}$ with any basis of $[f_{i_1},\cdots,f_{i_n}]$. Thus, if we know explicitly the $(\lambda_i)_i$, then the calculation of the solutions of Eq (2) is reduced to algebraic operations in L.
ii) Since S_4 is solvable, the result is clear when $n=2$.
Let $n\geq 3$. Since S_{2n} is non-solvable, it remains to show that if the solutions $(X_j)_{j\leq\binom{2n}{n}}$ of Eq (2) are in $\mathcal{M}_n(L)$ where $L\supset K$, then $L\supset K((\lambda_i)_{i\leq 2n})$. According to [5], a n-dimensional M-invariant subspace, associated to a solution X, is $S(X)=\{\begin{pmatrix}x\\Xx\end{pmatrix}\ ;\ x\in L^n\}$. Thus $S(X_1)\bigcap S(X_j)=\{\begin{pmatrix}x\\X_1x\end{pmatrix}\ ;\ x\in\ker(X_1-X_j)\}$. There are n^2 indices j such that $\dim(\ker(X_1-X_j))=1$. For such an index j, $S(X_1)\bigcap S(X_j)$ is an eigenspace of M associated to some $\lambda_i\in\sigma(M)$ and consequently, $\lambda_i\in L$. ☐

Remark 1. *i) When the non-generic Eq (3) has a finite number ν of solutions, then $\nu\leq\binom{2n}{n}$. The number of solutions $\binom{2n}{n}$ is known from Sylvester (1885, cf.* [18, p. 272-277]*).*
ii) If M is non-derogatory, then M admits a finite number of invariant subspaces and the associated Eq (2) has a finite number (eventually 0*) of solutions (cf.* [8, ch. 17.8]*).*
iii) In [10]*, the authors study the equation*

$$AX^2+BX+C=0_n \tag{7}$$

and, using a method adapted from the analysis of the Riccati equation, they construct solvents of Eq (7). For our purpose, Eq (7) is equivalent to $X^2+B'X+C'=0$, that is Eq (3). The conclusion is very different if we consider the following non-unilateral equation, whose LHS linearly depends on the indeterminates,

$$X^2A+BX+C=0_n. \tag{8}$$

Indeed, for us, Eq (8) is equivalent to $X^2+BXB'+C'=0$, that is Eq (4), equation whose LHS is not a linear function of the indeterminates (cf. Section 4).

Definition. *We consider an Eq (1) that has a finite number ν of solutions. Assume that finding the entries of each solution of Eq (1) is equivalent to find the roots of a polynomial whose Galois group over K is G. Then we denote by the solvability complexity (SC) of Eq (1), the couple (ν,G).*

Corollary 1. *The SC of Eq (2) is $(\binom{2n}{n},S_{2n})$.*

Proof. It is a consequence of Theorem 1 *i)* and of the proof of Theorem 1 *ii)*. ☐

3. Two specializations

• Putting $A = I_n, B_1 = B_2 = B$, we specialize Eq (2)

$$X^2 + BX + XB + C = 0_n. \tag{9}$$

where the indeterminates are B, C.

Proposition 1. *Eq (9) admits 2^n distinct solutions in the unknown $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$ and if $n \leq 4$, then Eq (9) is solvable.*

Proof. Eq (9) is equivalent to $(X + B)^2 = B^2 - C$. Since C is generic, $B^2 - C$ is generic and diagonalizable with non-zero distinct eigenvalues $(\lambda_i)_i$. There is an invertible matrix P such that $B^2 - C = P\ \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_4)P^{-1}$. Since $X + B$ and $B^2 - C$ commute, $X = -B + P\ \mathrm{diag}(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_4)P^{-1}$ where $\mu_i^2 = \lambda_i$. If $n \leq 4$,then we can explicitly calculate the $(\lambda_i)_i$ and Eq (9) is solvable. $\square$

• The equation

$$(XU + V)(RX + S) = 0_n, \tag{10}$$

where the indeterminates are the $n \times n$ matrices U, V, R, S, is a specialization of Eq (2) with the condition $C{B_2}^{-1}A = B_1$. This equation is studied in [16].
Put $Y = RX + S$. Since R, U are generic, they are invertible and $X = R^{-1}(Y - S)$. We deduce easily that $(UY)^2 + (-US + URVU^{-1})(UY) = 0$. The problem is reduced to solving the equation in $Z \in \mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$

$$Z^2 + TZ = 0_n \tag{11}$$

where T is an indeterminate. Note that Eq (11) plays an important role when solving Eq (2) (cf. [14]). Clearly there are exactly 2^n solutions that commute with T. Yet, we go to see that there are many other solutions.
Notation *i)* Let d be the Hilbert dimension of the ideal generated by the solutions of Eq (10) or of the associated Eq (11) ; let $\mathcal{S}$ be the component of the set of solutions of Eq (10-11) that has dimension d.
ii) Let $G(r, n)$ be the set of r-dimensional subspaces of K^n (Grassmannian) ; it is a homogeneous space of dimension $\delta_r = r(n - r)$.

Proposition 2. *i) If n is even ($n = 2p$), then $d = \delta_p$ and $\mathcal{S}$ consists of $\binom{2p}{p}$ affine varieties, whose equations have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[(\lambda_i)_i]$.
If n is odd ($n = 2p + 1$), then $d = \delta_p$ and $\mathcal{S}$ consists of $2\binom{2p+1}{p}$ affine varieties, whose equations have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}[(\lambda_i)_i]$.
ii) If $n \leq 4$, then Eq (11) is solvable.*

Proof. *i)* It is sufficient to consider Eq (11). Since T is generic, we may assume $T = \mathrm{diag}((\lambda_i)_i)$ where the $(\lambda_i)_i$ are indeterminates. Here $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0_n & -I_n \\ 0_n & T \end{pmatrix}$. Let $(e_i)_{i \leq 2n}$ be the canonical basis. Since T is generic, M is diagonalizable and $\ker(M) = [e_1, \cdots, e_n]$ is the eigenspace associated to the zero eigenvalue. An eigenvector associated to the eigenvalue λ_i is $v_i = \dfrac{-1}{\lambda_i}e_i + e_{n+i}$. To construct a M-invariant n-vector space, we choose $r \leq n$ and $n - r$ vectors $v_{i_1}, \cdots, v_{i_{n-r}}$ that generate a subspace F_{n-r}. Any subspace G_r of $[e_1, \cdots, e_n]$ of dimension r does not intersect F_{n-r} and $F_{n-r} \oplus G_r$ is convenient. For the sake of simplicity, we take the vectors $v_1, \cdots, v_{n-r}$. According to Section 2, the associated solutions are $VU^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} D & Y \\ 0_{r,n-r} & 0_{r,r} \end{pmatrix}$ where $D = \mathrm{diag}(-\lambda_1, \cdots, -\lambda_{n-r})$ and Y is an arbitrary $(n - r) \times r$ matrix. Each choice of G_r gives birth to a solution and, when F_{n-r} is fixed, the set of solutions consists of an affine variety of dimension δ_r. Now $\sup_r(\delta_r)$

is δ_p if n is even and is $\delta_p=\delta_{p+1}$ if n is odd. Since there are $\binom{n}{r}$ choices for F_{n-r}, we deduce the required results.
ii) If $n\leq 4$, then we can explicitly calculate the $(\lambda_i)_i$. $\square$

Remark 2. *The previous equation is in the form* $Z^2+BZ+C=0_n$ *where* $BC=CB$ *and* B^2-4C *admits exactly* 4 *square roots. According to* [10, p. 499]*, the solutions would be given by the usual formula for the roots of a scalar quadratic, that is, there would be exactly* 4 *solutions. In fact* ν *is infinite and there are many other solutions that do not commute with* T.

4. A more general equation

In this section, we show a general result that we shall need in the sequel. We consider Eq (1) ; it is equivalent to a system of n^2 algebraic equations in the n^2 unknowns $(x_{k,l})_{k,l}$

$$\text{for every } i,j\leq n\ ,\ f_{i,j}(X)=0.$$

Definition. *Let* X_0 *be an isolated solution of Eq (1). Let* $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$ *be the local ring in* X_0 *and* $((f_{i,j})_{i,j})_{X_0}$ *be the ideal generated by the* $(f_{i,j})_{i,j}$ *in* $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}$*. The multiplicity of* X_0 *is*

$$\textit{the dimension of } \mathcal{O}_{X_0}/((f_{i,j})_{i,j})_{X_0},\ \textit{as a } \overline{K}\textit{-vector space.}$$

Example. *Consider the solution* $(0,0)$ *of the system in* $\mathbb{C}^2$: $\{x^2=0,y^3=0\}$; *the quotient* $\mathbb{C}[x,y]_{(0,0)}/(x^2,y^3)_{(0,0)}$ *admits the basis* $\{1,x,y,y^2,xy,xy^2\}$ *and has dimension* 6*. For the system* $\{y^2-x^5=0,x^2-y^5=0\}$*, the multiplicity of the solution* $(0,0)$ *is* 4 *because it is locally equivalent to* $\{x^2=0,y^2=0\}$.
If we know a Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by the $(f_{i,j})_{i,j}$*, then fortunately, we can calculate (Maple does it) the total number of solutions of Eq (1) with multiplicity. For our second system, this number is* 25*, that is* 4 *solutions in* $(0,0)$ *and the* 21 *solutions of the subsystem* $\{y^{21}=1,x=y^{13}\}$.

Theorem 2. *The* $n\times n$ *matrices* $(A_1,\cdots,A_k,B)$ *are indeterminates and* g *is a non-zero polynomial over* K *in* $k+1$ *non-commuting indeterminates such that each monomial of* g *contains explicitly the variable* X*. We consider the equation*

$$g(A_1,\cdots,A_k,X)+B=0_n \ \textit{in the unknown } X\in\mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K}). \tag{12}$$

Then Eq (12) admits no singular solutions, that is, there are a finite number (eventually 0*) of solutions, and all have multiplicity* 1.

Proof. Assume that there are an infinity of solutions or there is an isolated multiple solution ; according to the intersection theory (cf. [6],[7]), that is equivalent to the existence of a singular solution X_0. Thus X_0 satisfies

$$\det(\frac{\partial g}{\partial X}(A_1,\cdots,A_k,X_0))=0\ ,\ g(A_1,\cdots,A_k,X_0)=-B.$$

The hypersurfaces $(g_{i,j}(A_1,\cdots,A_k,X_0)=-b_{i,j})_{i,j}$ have no common components and, according to Bezout's Theorem (cf. [6]), the equation $g(A_1,\cdots,A_k,X_0)=-B$ has a finite number of solutions in X_0 that depend on B. Thus, if such a solution X_0 satisfies $\det(\dfrac{\partial g}{\partial X}(A_1,\cdots,A_k,X_0))=0$, then we deduce a relation linking the $(A_i)_i$ and B, that is a contradiction. $\square$

5. Random specializations and formal calculus

In this section and also in Section 7, we show the interest of the formal calculus in the study of the generic matrix equations. Moreover the notion of "thin set" is usefull to construct, in a probabilistic way, a generic matrix equation.

• Tests on Eq (2). Let $n \in [\![2,4]\!]$. Of course we can calculate explicitly χ_M. Practically, since we cannot explicitly calculate $\sigma(M)$ (except when $n=2$), what can we do after ? A possible answer is using the Gröbner basis theory (cf. [4]). According to Section 2, it is sufficient to consider Eq (3). Since B is generic, we may assume that $B=\text{diag}((\lambda_i)_i)$, where the $(\lambda_i)_i$ are distinct and can be calculated by radicals. Let $C=[c_{i,j}]$. Thus we must solve a system of n^2 algebraic equations in the n^2 unknowns $(x_{i,j})_{i,j}$ and in the the n^2+n indeterminates $(\lambda_i)_i,(c_{i,j})_{i,j}$. Let L be the field $\mathbb{Q}((\lambda_i)_i,(c_{i,j})_{i,j})$ and t be the trace of X. Since there are a finite number of solutions in X, then the Hilbert dimension of the ideal generated by these polynomials is equal to n^2+n, the number of indeterminates. We use the package "Groebner" of Maple. When the calculation is complete, we obtain a Gröbner basis that triangularizes the system as follows

$$P(t)=0\ ;\ \text{for every } i,j\ ,\ x_{i,j}U_{i,j}(t)=V_{i,j}(t),$$

where P, a polynomial over L, has degree $\binom{2n}{n}$ and S_{2n} as Galois group and the $(U_{i,j}),(V_{i,j})$ are polynomials over L of degree $\binom{2n}{n}-1$ such that, for every i,j, $U_{i,j}$ and P have no common roots. Unfortunately the calculation is complete only when $n=2$. When $n=3$, too much memory is required, even with a cluster. Thus, when $n=3,4$ we must specialize the matrices B,C.

The reason that we choose the unknown t is the following : the minimal polynomial over L of t is in the form $P(z)=z^\tau+\sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1}a_iz^i$ where $\tau=\binom{2n}{n}$. At least when $n\leq 4$, $Q(u)=P(u-\dfrac{a_{\tau-1}}{\tau})$ is an even polynomial. Therefore $Q(u)=R(u^2)$ where R is a polynomial of degree $\tau/2$ and the problem is reduced to solving a polynomial of degree $\frac{1}{2}\binom{2n}{n}$. In particular, when $n=2$, R has degree 3 and we obtain easily the values of t. When $n=3$, we consider this random instance of specialization

$$B=\begin{pmatrix}-1&2&-1\\0&1&1\\2&0&-2\end{pmatrix}\ ,\ C=\begin{pmatrix}2&-1&0\\-1&-1&1\\0&0&-2\end{pmatrix}.$$

Here R is the following irreducible polynomial of degree $\frac{1}{2}\binom{6}{3}=10$

$$R(z)=z^{10}-10z^9+57z^8-263z^7-2350z^6-5817z^5$$

$$+31627z^4+289793z^3+373833z^2-600264z+60516.$$

Let H be the Galois group of R over $\mathbb{Q}$. Using the software Magma and according to the notation of [3], we obtain $H\approx$ "10 T 32". This group is isomorphic to S_6. The Galois group of P is also S_6, as for the generic system, that is not surprising for the reasons below. Note that, if $n=4$, then the calculation is complete only if we randomly choose for B a diagonal matrix.

Recall that the specialization of the indeterminates results in a Galois group which is a subgroup of the original Galois group. Yet, if the choice is random, then (except if we are unlucky) we obtain the total group. To study this fact, we need the concept of "thin set", in the sense of J.P. Serre (cf. [17, p. 19]) ; its precise definition is useless for our purpose. Write $\xi\in P_1(\mathbb{Q})=\mathbb{Q}\cup\{\infty\}$ as the equivalence class of $(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{(0,0)\}$ with $\gcd(x,y)=1$. This can be done in a unique way, up to a choice of sign. The *height* of ξ is defined to be $\text{height}(\xi)=\sup(|x|,|y|)$. The following shows that when we randomly choose a point in $P_1(\mathbb{Q})$, it is almost never in a fixed thin set

Proposition. [17, p. 26] *i) The number of points in* $P_1(\mathbb{Q})$ *with* height *less than* N *is asymptotically* $\frac{12}{\pi^2}N^2$.
ii) If $A \subset P_1(\mathbb{Q})$ *is a thin set, then the number of points of* A *with* height *less than* N *is in* $O(\sqrt{N})$.

We consider a polynomial, the coefficients of which depend on indeterminates. The following result shows that a random specialization of the indeterminates allows to retrieve the total Galois group of the polynomial. Let V be an algebraic irreducible variety over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $V(\mathbb{Q})$ denotes the $\mathbb{Q}$-rational points of V and $\mathbb{Q}(V)$ denotes the function field of V.

Theorem. [17, p. 24] *Let* $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$, *where* $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}(V)$, *be an irreducible polynomial over* $\mathbb{Q}(V)$ *that has* G *as Galois group. Then there exists a thin set* $A \subset V(\mathbb{Q})$ *such that if* $t \notin A$, *then*
i) t *is not a pole of any of the* (a_i).
ii) $f_t(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}(t)x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0(t)$ *is irreducible over* $\mathbb{Q}$.
iii) The Galois group of f_t *is* G.

If the parameter N is not a large number, then the probability of falling into a fixed thin set is not negligible, as we see about the following test where the entries are randomly chosen in $[\![-2, 2]\!]$.

Remark 3. *When* $n = 3$, *there exist solvable instances* (B, C) *of Eq (3), such that* $BC - CB$ *is not nilpotent (cf. Theorem 3 when* B, C *commute), as this one*

$$B = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 2)\ ,\ C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, $R = R_4R_6$, *where* R_4, R_6 *are irreducible polynomials of degrees* $4, 6$ *and* R_6 *is solvable.*

- Tests on Eq (4). Now we consider Eq (4) : $X^2 + B_1XB_2 + C = 0_n$, in the unknown $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$, where the $n \times n$ matrices B_1, B_2, C are indeterminates. Unlike to Eq (2), its LHS does not linearly depend on the indeterminates and, consequently, we do not know any explicit form of the solutions of Eq (4) ; thus we study this equation using formal calculus for $n = 2, 3$. We obtain that Eq (4) has a larger SC than that of Eq (2).

Proposition 3. *For any* $n \geq 2$, *Eq (4) has a finite number of solutions and is non-solvable.*

Proof. According to Theorem 2, Eq (4) has a finite number of solutions ; thus, according to the Gröbner basis theory, there is (i, j) and a polynomial p, with coefficients in K, such that the solutions $x_{i,j}$ are the roots of p. If a specialization of Eq (4) admits a finite number of solutions X_0, then the solutions $x_{0;i,j}$ are the roots of p_0, the specialization of p. Since the number of solutions X_0 is finite, p_0 is not identically zero. Suppose that Eq (4) is solvable ; therefore the polynomial p is solvable and, consequently, p_0 too.
- $n = 2$. Using Maple, we consider a specialization $B_{1,0}, B_{2,0}, C_0$ of Eq (4) and construct a Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by the associated 4 algebraic equations in 4 unknowns. The polynomial p_0, associated to $x_{1,1}$, has degree 8 and its Galois group is S_8, that is a contradiction.
- $n > 2$. Eq (3) is a specialization of Eq (4). According to Theorem 1, Eq (3) has a finite number of solutions and is non-solvable ; thus Eq (4) is non-solvable. $\square$

More precisely we do specializations as follows : the entries of B_1, B_2, C are randomly chosen and we calculate the polynomial p_0 associated to $x_{1,1}$. Almost all the tests are such that p_0 has degree 8 (resp. 56) and S_8 (resp. S_{56}) as Galois group over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, according to the Serre theorem, we conjecture the following

Conjecture 1. *When $n = 2$ (resp. $n = 3$), the SC of Eq (4) is $(8, S_8)$ (resp. $(56, S_{56})$).*

6. When the indeterminates commute

Now we assume that the indeterminats commute. Let $B_0, \cdots, B_{k-1}$ be $n \times n$ commuting matrices that are indeterminates and $K = \mathbb{Q}(B_0, \cdots, B_{k-1})$. We consider the following unilateral matrix equations in the unknown $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$

$$X^k + X^{k-1}B_{k-1} + \cdots + XB_1 + B_0 = 0_n. \tag{13}$$

$$X^k + B_{k-1}X^{k-1} + \cdots + B_1X + B_0 = 0_n. \tag{14}$$

Note that, in the previous equations, the LHS is a linear function of the indeterminates and the monomials of type BX^k and X^lC are not mixed.

Theorem 3. *We consider Eq (13) or Eq (14) where the $(B_i)_i$ are $n \times n$ commuting matrices that are indeterminates. Then there are k^n solutions and any solution $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$ commutes with the $(B_i)_i$.*

Proof. By transposition, solving Eq (14) is reduced to solving Eq (13). Thus we study only Eq (13). Since B_0 is a generic matrix, it is similar to a diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n)$ whose distinct eigenvalues are conjugate over K and the commutant of B_0 is $K[B_0]$. Thus, for every $j \leq k-1$, there exists a unique polynomial P_j of degree $n-1$ and with coefficients in K such that $B_j = P_j(B_0)$. Let $(e_i)_{i \leq n}$ be a basis consisting of eigenvectors of B_0. Thus for every $i \leq n$

$$(X^k + P_{k-1}(\lambda_i)X^{k-1} + \cdots + P_1(\lambda_i)X + \lambda_i I_n)e_i = 0.$$

Put

$$\theta(x, \lambda) = x^k + P_{k-1}(\lambda)x^{k-1} + \cdots + P_1(\lambda)x + \lambda$$

and, for every i, let $\mu_{i,1}, \cdots, \mu_{i,k}$ be the roots in the unknown x of $\theta(x, \lambda_i)$.
If the $(\mu_{i,j})_{i \leq n, j \leq k}$ are not distinct, then there is $i \leq n$ such that the discriminant

$$\mathrm{discrim}(\theta(x, \lambda_i), x) = \phi(\lambda_i) \text{ is zero,}$$

or there are $p < q \leq n$ such that the resultant

$$\mathrm{result}(\theta(x, \lambda_p), \theta(x, \lambda_q), x) = \psi(\lambda_p, \lambda_q) \text{ is zero.}$$

Note that ϕ, ψ are polynomials with coefficients in K that depend only on the (P_j). We consider symmetrizations of $\phi(\lambda_i)$, $\Pi_{\sigma \in S_n} \phi(\lambda_{\sigma(i)}) = 0$, or of $\psi(\lambda_p, \lambda_q)$, $\Pi_{\sigma \in S_n} \psi(\lambda_{\sigma(p)}, \lambda_{\sigma(q)}) = 0$, that are polynomial relations linking the matrix B_0 and the polynomials (P_j). We obtain a contradiction if we show that $\phi(\lambda_i)$ and $\psi(\lambda_p, \lambda_q)$ are not identically zero.

We specialize the $(B_i)_i$ into the $(B'_i)_i \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Q})^k$, putting, for every $r \leq n$, $\lambda_r = r$ and, for every $0 \leq s \leq k-1$, $P_s(x) = x^{s+1} \mod \chi_{B_0}(x)$. Then a calculation shows that the associated $(\mu'_{i,j})_{i \leq n, j \leq k}$ are distinct. Note that every k-tuple of commuting matrices in a neighborhood of $(B'_i)_i$ in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{Q})^k$ satisfies the previous property.

We return to the case where the $(B_i)_i$ are commuting indeterminates. There are distinct $\mu_{i,1}, \cdots, \mu_{i,k}$ such that $(X - \mu_{i,1}I_n) \cdots (X - \mu_{i,k}I_n)e_i = 0$. Thus there is $j_i \leq k$ such that $\mu_{i,j_i} \in \sigma(X)$. Since the $(\mu_{i,j})_{i \leq n, j \leq k}$ are distinct, X has the following simple eigenvalues : $(\mu_{i,j_i})_{i \leq n}$. We deduce that, for every i, $Xe_i = \mu_{i,j_i}e_i$ and X commute with the (B_i). It remains to solve n polynomials of degree k. $\square$

Remark 4. *An essential argument in the previous proof is that the* $(\mu_{i,j})_{i\leq n,j\leq k}$ *are distinct. Thus we may replace, in Eq (13), the polynomial* $X^k+X^{k-1}B_{k-1}+\cdots+XB_1+B_0$ *with a sparse polynomial. Yet, necessarily,* B_0 *must appear (cf. Eq (11) in Section 2) ; else* $x=0$ *is a common root of the polynomials* $(\theta(x,\lambda_i))_i$.

Corollary 2. *Consider the Riccati Eq (2) where the indeterminates* A,B_1,B_2,C *are commuting* $n\times n$ *matrices. Then there are* 2^n *solutions, in* $X\in\mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$*, and any solution commutes with the indeterminates.*

Proof. Using Section 3, a solution X of Eq (2) can be write $X=A^{-1}Z-A^{-1}B_2$ where Z is a solution of the equation $Z^2+DZ+E=0_n$ with $D=-B_2+AB_1A^{-1}=-B_2+B_1, E=-AB_1A^{-1}B_2+AC=-B_1B_2+AC$. The indeterminates D,E are only linked by the relations of commutativity : $DE-ED=0_n$. According to Theorem 3, Z is a polynomial in E. Moreover A,A^{-1},B_1,B_2 are polynomials in C. Then Z is a polynomial in C and X too. □

Consider, for $n=3$, the equation of degree 4

$$X^4+A_1XA_2XA_3X+X^3+X^2+XA_4XA_5+A_6XA_7+B=0_3 \tag{15}$$

where the 3×3 matrices $(A_i)_i,B$ are commuting indeterminates. Using Maple, we specialize Eq (15) and we obtain almost always that the solutions in $X\in\mathcal{M}_3(\overline{K})$ commute with $(A_i)_i,B$. Other numerical experiments do seem to indicate that the following surprising result is true

Conjecture 2. *Let* g *be a non-zero polynomial over* K *in* $k+1$ *non-commuting indeterminates* $(u_1,\cdots,u_{k+1})$ *such that*
i) $g(u_1,\cdots,u_k,0)=0$
and for every $i\leq k$
ii) u_i *appears exactly one time in the expression* $g(u_1,\cdots,u_{k+1})$.
iii) The degree of g *with respect to* u_i *is* 1.
We consider the equation

$$g(A_1,\cdots,A_k,X)+B=0_n \text{ in the unknown } X\in\mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K}) \tag{16}$$

where the $n\times n$ *matrices* $A_1,\cdots,A_k,B$ *are commuting indeterminates, that is, we assume that the only ones relations that link the indeterminates are those of commutativity. Then any solution of Eq (16) commutes with the indeterminates.*

According to Conjecture 2, any solution of the commuting Eq (4) would commute with B_1,B_2,C ; in fact, we can prove this result, using Maple, for $n\leq 3$. Yet, the following shows that Conjecture 2 does not work if condition *ii)* or *iii)* is not fulfilled.

Proposition 4. *We consider the equation :* $X^2+BXB+C=0_2$ *(that is a specialization of Eq (4)) where* B,C *are* 2×2 commuting *matrices that are indeterminates. Let* $L=K(\sigma(B),\sigma(C))$*. There are four trivial isolated solutions that commute with* B,C*. Moreover there are infinity many solutions* $X\in\mathcal{M}_2(L(u))$ *that depend on a parameter* u *; these solutions do not commute with* B,C.

Proof. We may assume that B,C are diagonal matrices. Using Maple, we construct a Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by the associated 4 algebraic equations in 4 unknowns and 4 indeterminates. Since the Hilbert dimension of the ideal is 5, the non-trivial solutions depend on $5-4=1$ parameter. □

Remark 5. *More generally, the previous equation admits, in* $\mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$*, non-trivial solutions that depend on* $n-1$ *parameters.*

7. Quadratic equation in matrices

The general quadratic matrix equation, in the unknown $X = [x_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$, has the form (cf. [19])

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r}(A_iXB_iXC_i + D_iXE_i) + F = 0_n \tag{17}$$

where the $n \times n$ matrices $(A_i), (B_i), (C_i), (D_i), (E_i), F$ are indeterminates.

Assume $n = 2$. Eq (17) is equivalent to 4 algebraic equations of degree 2 in the 4 unknowns $(x_{i,j})_{i,j}$. Using a supplementary unknown T, we homogenize the previous equations and we seek the intersections of 4 quadric hypersurfaces $(H_i)_i$ in the projective space $P_4(\overline{K})$. Let ν be the number of solutions of Eq (17) in $P_4(\overline{K})$, counted with multiplicity, and by considering points at infinity. According to Bézout's Theorem,
i) or ν is finite and $\nu = 2^4 = 16$. Moreover, if we know 16 isolated solutions, then, necessarily ν is finite and there are no other solutions.
ii) either ν is infinite and the hypersurfaces $(H_i)_i$ have a common algebraic component. Then the sum of the degrees of the irreducible components of their intersection is at most 16.

Note that the solutions such that $T = 0$ satisfy the homogeneous equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r}(A_iXB_iXC_i) = 0_2. \tag{18}$$

Thus Eq (17) admits no solutions at infinity when Eq (18) admits the unique solution $X = 0_2$.

Example 1. *The set of solutions of the Riccati Eq (2) consists of* 6 *isolated solutions in* $\overline{K}^4$ *and, at infinity, the set* $\{X \neq 0 \mid XAX = 0_2\} = \{X \neq 0 \mid (XA)^2 = 0_2\}$ *; the previous set is a blunted cone of dimension* 2*, that is a curve in the hyperplane* $T = 0$ *of* $P_4(\overline{K})$.

Example. *Consider the quadratic equation* $AXB_1X+XB_2X+DX+F = 0_2$ *where the* 2×2 *matrices* A, B_1, B_2, D, F *are indeterminates. Numerical experiments seem to indicate that this equation admits* 8 *distinct solutions in* $\overline{K}^4$. *At infinity, we study the equation* $\psi(X) = AXB_1X + XB_2X = 0_2$. *We obtain almost always* 4 *distinct solutions in* $P_4(\overline{K})$ *; if* X_0 *is such a solution, then* $\dfrac{\partial\psi}{\partial X}(X_0)(X_0) = 2\psi(X_0) = 0_2$. *Thus rank*$(\dfrac{\partial\psi}{\partial X}(X_0)) < 4$ *and* X_0 *is a multiple solution ; in order to obtain* 16 *solutions in* $P_4(\overline{K})$*, its multiplicity would be* 2.

We give an instance of a generic quadratic matrix equation that admits 16 isolated solutions in $\overline{K}^4$.
Let K_d denotes the set of polynomials of degree d with cofficients in K.

Theorem 4. *The* 2×2 *matrices* A, B_1, B_2, C, D, F *are indeterminates. We consider the equation in the unknown* $X \in \mathcal{M}_2(\overline{K})$

$$AXB_1X + XB_2X + X^2C + DX + F = 0_2. \tag{19}$$

The SC of Eq (19) is $(16, S_{16})$.

Proof. • We specialize Eq (19) and use the "Groebner" package of Maple. If we randomly choose the indeterminates, then we obtain almost always the following
i) $A_0XB_{1,0}X + XB_{2,0}X + X^2C_0 = 0_2$ implies $X = 0_2$, that is our specialization has no solutions at infinity.

ii) a Gröbner basis associated to Eq (19), in the unknown $X_0 = [x_{0;i,j}]$, has the form

$$p_0(x_{0;1,1}) = 0, \text{ for every } (r,s) \neq (1,1),\ x_{0;r,s} = p_{0;r,s}(x_{0;1,1})$$
$$\text{where } p_0 \in K_{16} \text{ has } S_{16} \text{ as Galois group and } p_{0;r,s} \in K_{15}.$$

Thus we obtain 16 distinct solutions in $\overline{K}^4$.

• According to Theorem 2, Eq (19) has a finite number of solutions in $\mathcal{M}_2(\overline{K})$. As in the proof of Proposition 3, there is (i,j) and a polynomial p, with coefficients in K and $\deg(p) \leq 16$, such that the solutions $x_{i,j}$ are the roots of p. If a specialization of Eq (4) admits a finite number of solutions X_0, then the solutions $x_{0;i,j}$ are the roots of p_0. Since the number of solutions X_0 is finite, p_0 is not identically zero and $\deg(p_0) \leq \deg(p)$. Our experiments show that $\deg(p) = 16$ and that the Galois group of p is S_{16}. Finally Eq (19) has 16 distinct solutions in $\mathcal{M}_2(\overline{K})$ and each value of $x_{i,j}$ gives birth to a unique solution X. □

The $n \times n$ matrices A, B_1, B_2, C are indeterminates. We consider the homogeneous equation, in the unknown $X \in \mathcal{M}_n(\overline{K})$, which is associated to Eq (19)

$$AXB_1X + XB_2X + X^2C = 0_n. \tag{20}$$

Corollary 3. *If $n = 2$, then Eq (20) has only the zero solution.*

Proof. According to Theorem 4, Eq (19) has no solutions at infinity. Therefore, the homogeneous Eq (20) has no non-zero solutions. □

Assume $n = 3$. We look for a generic quadratic equation that is maximal in the sense that it has $2^9 = 512$ isolated solutions in $\mathcal{M}_3(\overline{K})$. Unfortunately Eq (19) is not convenient. The set of non-zero solutions of Eq (20) is a cone in $\overline{K}^9 \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore we consider solutions in $P_8(\overline{K})$. When we specialize Eq (20), the Hilbert dimension of the associated ideal is almost always 1, that is, there is at least one curve of solutions in $P_8(\overline{K})$. To obtain a maximal equation, we proceed as follows : first, we seek a homogeneous equation $\psi(X) = 0_3$ that has no non-zero solutions ; then, we consider the equation $\psi(X) + DX + F = 0_3$ where the 3×3 matrices D, F are indeterminates. According to Theorem 2, the previous equation has only isolated simple solutions ; thus it has 512 distinct solutions in $\mathcal{M}_3(\overline{K})$. According to numerical experiments, we conjecture the following

Conjecture 3. *The 3×3 matrices $(A_i), (B_j), (C_k), D, F$ are indeterminates. Then the equation in the unknown $X \in \mathcal{M}_3(\overline{K})$*

$$\psi(X) = A_1XB_1X + A_2XB_2X + XB_3XC_1 + XB_4XC_2 + XB_5X = 0_3$$

has no non-zero solutions, or equivalently, the solution $X = 0_3$ has multiplicity 512. *Moreover the SC of the equation $\psi(X) + DX + F = 0_3$ is* $(512, S_{512})$.

8. Instances of Riccati equations

In this section, we adopt another point of view. Let $n = 2$ and ν denote the number of solutions, *with multiplicity* and not at infinity, of Eq (2). Here the matrices A, B_1, B_2, C are numeric and fixed in $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$; the only condition that is required on these matrices is $A \neq 0_2$. We look at the different forms of the set of solutions of a non-generic Riccati equation. The results that follow are known by V.V. Palin but it seems that they were not published.

• We know that if ν is finite, then $\nu \leq 6$. For every $r \leq 6$, we give an instance of Eq (2) such that $\nu = r$. Recall that if M is non-derogatory, then ν is finite.

$r = 0$. $X^2 - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0_2$ or $XAX + C = 0_2$ where A is not invertible and C is invertible.

$r=1$. $X\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}X+\begin{pmatrix}-1&2\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}X+\begin{pmatrix}-2&-2\\1&-1\end{pmatrix}=0_2$. $\sigma(M)=\{-1,-1,0,0\}$ and M is non-derogatory. The unique convenient M-invariant subspace of dimension 2 is $\ker(M^2)$.
Question : Does such a simple solution exist when A is invertible ?
$r=2$. $X^2+X+\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\2&1\end{pmatrix}=0_2$. $\sigma(M)=\{\lambda,\lambda,\bar{\lambda},\bar{\lambda}\}$ and M is non-derogatory. We obtain 2 solutions : $X_0,\overline{X_0}$.
$r=3$. $X^2+\begin{pmatrix}2&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}X+\begin{pmatrix}1&-1\\0&-2\end{pmatrix}=0_2$. The unique solution is $X_0=\begin{pmatrix}-1&1/3\\0&2\end{pmatrix}$ and has multiplicity 3. $\sigma(M)=\{1,1,1,-2\}$ and M is non-derogatory. If we perturb the entries of the coefficients of X and X^0, by adding small complex numbers, then according to Section 2, we obtain (in general) a generic equation that has 6 isolated solutions ; 3 solutions are in a neighborhood of X_0 and 3 solutions are in a neighborhood of infinity, that is they have at least one large entry.
$r=4$. Use a generic commuting Eq (2) (cf. Theorem 3). M has four distinct eigenvalues.
$r=5$. $X^2+\begin{pmatrix}-1&2\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}X+\begin{pmatrix}0&-2\\0&1\end{pmatrix}=0_2$. M has four distinct eigenvalues.
$r=6$. Use a generic Eq (2) (cf. Theorem 1). M has four distinct eigenvalues.
$r=6$. (communicated to us by V.V. Palin).

$$X\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&1\end{pmatrix}X-\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\0&0\end{pmatrix}X+X\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\0&0\end{pmatrix}=0_2.$$

M is nilpotent and non-derogatory. $\ker(M^2)$ is the unique 2-dimensional M-invariant subspace. The unique solution is $X=0_2$ and has multiplicity 6.

Remark 6. *More generally, consider the equation $XAX+BX-XB=0_2$ where the 2×2 matrices A,B are indeterminates. Then we can show that the previous equation admits the trivial solution $X=0_2$ with multiplicity 4 and 2 supplementary non-zero solutions.*

- Assume that ν is infinite. As we shall see, the Hilbert dimension d of the ideal generated by the solutions is 1 or 2.

Remark 7. *In Section 3, we saw that, if A is invertible, then Eq (2) can be rewritten in the form of Eq (3) : $X^2+BX+C=0_2$. One has $\det(M-\lambda I_2)=\det(\lambda^2 I_2-\lambda B+C)$. Assume that B,C commute ; then we may assume that B,C are upper-triangular and there are orderings (μ_1,μ_2) and (ν_1,ν_2) of $\sigma(B)$ and $\sigma(C)$ such that the eigenvalues of M are the roots of the polynomial $(\lambda^2-\mu_1\lambda+\nu_1)(\lambda^2-\mu_2\lambda+\nu_2)$. Since ν is infinite, the previous polynomial has a double root.*

$d=1$. Use a generic Eq (11) (cf. Proposition 2). $\sigma(M)=\sigma(T)\cup\{0,0\}$ (3 distinct eigenvalues). M is derogatory and diagonalizable. $\mathcal{S}$ consists of 2 non-intersecting straight lines in the direction of 2 nilpotent matrices.
Question : Does there exist an instance of Eq (2) such that $d=1$ and that the variety of solutions is not flat ?
$d=2$. $X^2-I_2=0_2$. The set of solutions is $\{I_2,-I_2\}\bigcup\{\begin{pmatrix}a&b\\c&-a\end{pmatrix}\mid a^2+bc=1\}$, that is 2 isolated points and a hyperboloid of one sheet of dimension 2. $\sigma(M)=\{\lambda,\lambda,\mu,\mu\}$ where $\lambda\neq\mu$. M is diagonalizable and derogatory.
$d=2$. $XAX=0_2$ where $A\neq 0_2$. M is nilpotent and derogatory. We obtain a cone of dimension 2 when A is invertible (see Example 1) and else, considering the cases $A=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\0&0\end{pmatrix}$ and $A=\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}$), we obtain two intersecting planes.

The previous instance shows that the set of solutions at infinity is always a curve in P_4, that implies that the maximal Hilbert dimension of the ideal generated by the solutions, not at infinity, is 2. We deduce the following

Proposition 5. *We consider Riccati Eq (2) where* $n = 2$ *and* $A \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0_2\}, B_1, B_2, C \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *are given fixed numeric matrices. There exist instances of the previous matrices such that the set of solutions, not at infinity, of the associated Eq (2) is one of the following*
i) $0, 1, \cdots$ *or* 6 *elements.*
ii) A variety of Hilbert dimension 1*.*
iii) A variety of Hilbert dimension 2*.*
Conversely, each instance of Eq (2) has a set of solutions that has one of the previous forms.

References

[1] G. Bergman. *Can one factor the classical adjoint of a generic matrix ?* Transformtation groups, vol. **11-1**,7-15. Birkhauser, Boston, 2006.
[2] W. Bruns, A. Conca, M. Varbaro. *Relations between the minors of a generic matrix.* Arxiv, 1111.7263,(2012).
[3] G. Butler, J. McKay. *The transitive groups of degree up to eleven.* Communications in algebra, **11** (8), 1983.
[4] D. Cox, J. Little, D. O'Shea. Ideals, varieties and algorithms: an introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. Springer-Verlag. 1997.
[5] G. Freiling. *A survey of nonsymmetric Riccati equations.* Lin. Alg. and Appl., **351**, 243-270, 2002.
[6] W. Fulton. Algebraic curves. Mathematics lecture notes series. Addison Wesley (1990).
[7] W. Fulton. Intersection theory. Springer (1994).
[8] I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, L. Rodman. Invariant subspaces of matrices with applications. SIAM (2006).
[9] S. Gelfand. *On the number of solutions of a quadratic equation.* Globus, mathematics seminar, vol. 1 (in russian), Moscow center of continuous mathematical education, 2004.
[10] N. Higham, H. Kim. *Numerical analysis of a quadratic matrix equation.* IMA Journal of numerical analysis **20**, 499-519, 2000.
[11] P. Lancaster, L. Rodman. Algebraic Riccati equations. Clarendon press. 1995.
[12] A. Morgan. Solving polynomial systems using continuation for engineering and scientific problems. Englewood Cliffs, NJ. Prentice-Hall (1987).
[13] B. Mourrain. *Some algebra with formal matrices.* LNCS, **504**,234-248, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
[14] V.V. Palin. *Solvability of matrix Riccati equations.* Journal of Math. Sciences, **163**, 2, 2009.
[15] C. Procesi. Rings with polynomial identities. Pure and appl. math., 17, Dekker, 1973.
[16] V.M. Prokip. *On the solvability of the Riccati matrix algebraic equation.* Ukr. Math. Journal, **46**, 11, 1994.
[17] J.P. Serre. Topics in Galois theory. Research notes in mathematics. Jones and Bartlett (1992).
[18] J.J. Sylvester. The collected mathematical papers of James Joseph Sylvester. Vol. 4. New York, Chelsea (1973).
[19] V. Tsachouridis, B. Kouvaritakis. *The homogeneous projective transformation of general quadratic matrix equations.* IMA Journal math. control inf. **22-4**,517-540, 2005.
[20] B.L. van der Waerden. Algebra, volume I. Springer (1991).

Gérald Bourgeois, GAATI, Université de la polynésie française, BP 6570, 98702 FAA'A, Tahiti, Polynésie Française.
E-mail address: `bourgeois.gerald@gmail.com`